

Tamper Proofing Web Applications at Runtime



Presentation Outline

- What is Tamper Proofing?
- Real-World Tamper Proofing Mechanisms
- OWASP Top 10 Coverage
- Tamper Proofing Method Analysis
 - URLs & Query Strings
 - Form Data
 - Cookies
 - JavaScript



Traditional Security Strategies

- Negative Security (BlackList)
 - Bypass
 - False Positives / Negatives
- Positive Security (WhiteList)
 - Difficult to Generate
 - Still might have false positives
- **Cant stop Authorization Attacks**
 - **Need context**



Tampering Attacks

- Goal: Prevent attacks targeting Embedded Input
 - Editable Data (Text, TextArea, Password)
 - Embedded Input (almost everything else)
- What are Embedded Inputs?
 - URLs (URI and Query String)
 - Cookies
 - HTML Form Inputs EXCEPT editable inputs
 - On average, >80% of all Inputs



Tamper Prevention

- Root Cause Analysis:
 - **Problem:** The application allowed the user to do something they shouldn't have been able to do
 - **Solution:** Only allow the user do what the application expects them to be able to do
- How?
 - **Look at what is presented to the user**
 - If an option is not presented, don't let them use it
 - If we don't ask the user for it, don't accept it!



Requirements

- Transparent to the application / developer
 - Web Server Module (ISAPI,NSAPI,MOD_*)
 - HttpModule (ASP.NET / IIS7)
 - Web Filters (Java)
- Tolerable performance hit
 - There will always be some
- Configurable
 - There is no silver bullet



Tamper Proofing Strategies

- Encryption
 - Prevents un-authorized viewing and tampering
 - Requires a secret key
- Abstraction
 - Prevents un-authorized viewing and tampering
 - Requires a storage location (client or server-side)
- Hashing (HMAC)
 - Prevents tampering
 - Requires a secret key or storage location



Tamper Proofing Strategies

- Define entry points
 - /default.aspx
 - /login.aspx

- Analyze entry point responses
 - URLs & Query Strings
 - HTML Form Inputs
 - HTTP Cookies
 - JavaScript Functions & Variables



Tamper Proofing Output

- Any effective mechanism must have two basic components
 - Input Validation & Transformation (easy)
 - Usually a Filter
 - May leverage framework for parsing
 - *Relatively* simple structure / format



Tamper Proofing Output

- Any effective mechanism must have two basic components
 - Output Transformation (hard)
 - Filter / Parser
 - HTML Forgiveness
 - JavaScript Complexity
 - Framework Tag / Control Extensions
 - Inconsistent Tag Use



Tamper Proofing Mechanisms

- Real World Tamper Proofing Mechanisms
 - Commercial Application Firewalls
 - Might offer some of the discussed protection mechanisms
 - Freeware
 - All are embedded mechanisms (software-only)
 - Deployable at the web server OR application level
 - May be applicable to only a specific application framework



Http Data Integrity Validator

- **Http Data Integrity Validator (HDIV)**
 - Java Web Filter & Custom TagLibs
 - Generates `_HDIV_STATE_` token for each request
 - Server-side Reference to State Information
 - Client-side (encrypted /hashed)
 - Abstracts embedded QueryString and Form Data
 - Confidentiality
 - Editable Data Protection
 - Provides generic validators (configurable) for editable inputs



Http Data Integrity Validator

- **Http Data Integrity Validator (HDIV)**
 - Works with Struts 1.x, Struts 2.x, Spring MVC and JSTL (overrides framework HTML tags at runtime)
 - Does not parse HTML output, so data not rendered using a framework tag is not protected (JavaScript)
 - Version: 2.0.4 - Mar 11, 2008 (hdiv.org)



IIS Secure Parameter Filter (SPF)

- **IIS Secure Parameter Filter (SPF)**
 - ASP.NET HttpModule (C#)
 - Appends URLToken to every URL
 - Validates URI and any embedded Query String values
 - Encrypts Embedded Form Data and Cookies
 - Inserts a Form ID to capture state of each form
 - Only “enabled” inputs will be permitted
 - Only encrypted embedded inputs are accepted
 - Verifies Read-Only text attributes on form submission
 - Configurable JavaScript protection



IIS Secure Parameter Filter (SPF)

- **IIS Secure Parameter Filter (SPF)**
 - Parses Response HTML (not tied to Framework)
 - Uses HTML Agility Pack to parse HTML responses
 - Non-ASP.NET application can also be protected on IIS7
 - Optional “BlackList” RegEx protection capability
 - Future Enhancements
 - Input Abstraction
 - AJAX Support
 - ASP.NET Control Override
 - Version: 1.0.1 – Dec 1, 2008 (gdssecurity.com)



Mod Anti-Tamper

■ **Mod Anti-Tamper**

- Apache Module (written in C)
- Parses outbound web server responses (Regex) for embedded links
- Appends encrypted token (HMAC) to embedded query strings and cookie values
 - Does not cover FORM data
- Rumors of integration into mod_security
- Version 0.1 - 2005 (wisec.it)



OWASP Top 10 Coverage

A1 - Cross Site Scripting (XSS)

- URL tokens should thwart reflected XSS exploits (if tied to a session cookie)

A2 - Injection Flaws

A3 - Malicious File Execution

A4 - Insecure Direct Object Reference

A5 - Cross Site Request Forgery (CSRF)

- URL tokens should provide added benefit of CSRF protection (if tied to a session cookie)



OWASP Top 10 Coverage

A6 - Information Leakage and Improper Error Handling

- Encryption might mitigate information leakage within application inputs (hidden fields, cookies, etc)

A7 - Broken Authentication and Session Management

- Cookie protection will mitigate weak/predictable session IDs

A8 - Insecure Cryptographic Storage

A9 - Insecure Communications

A10 - Failure to Restrict URL Access

- URL tokens should thwart forced browsing



Tamper Proofing Considerations

- Tamper Proofing Considerations
 - URIs
 - Form Data
 - Cookies
 - JavaScript



Tamper Proofing URIs

- URIs
 - Every URI that is not an entry point is generated by the application
 - A HREF
 - FORM ACTION
 - SCRIPT/IMG SRC
 - Not normally considered “input”



Tamper Proofing URIs

- Encrypt the URI

- Decrypt and replace URI on every request

<http://foo.test/UserProfile.aspx>



<http://foo.test/5a47670634430784a6db394.aspx>

- URL Tokens

- Token is an HMAC of URL or Server-Side Reference
 - Validate token on every request

<http://foo.test/UserProfile.aspx>



<http://foo.test/UserProfile.aspx?token=5a476b394d535a7063443>



Tamper Proofing URIs

- Other Considerations
 - URL Length Limitations
 - Vary by Browser & Web Server
 - If authenticated, must be tied to the user / session
 - Can't be tied to user/session if link-able
 - Token must be tied to URI
 - Token must NOT be alterable by user



Tamper Proofing Query Strings

- Query Strings
 - Embedded query strings within HTML URLs
 - A HREF, FORM ACTION, SCRIPT/IMG SRC
 - FORMS using GET method will also generate query string data
 - We will address FORM inputs separately



Tamper Proofing Query Strings

- Encrypted Query String

- Decrypt on each request

<http://foo.test/UserProfile.aspx?id=392>



<http://foo.test/UserProfile.aspx?qs=394d535a7063443078>

- Query String Token

- HMAC or Server-Side Reference
- Can be combined with URI to cover entire URL
- Validated on every request

<http://foo.test/UserProfile.aspx?id=392>



<http://foo.test/UserProfile.aspx?id=392&token=394d535a706344>



Tamper Proofing Query Strings

- Query String Abstraction
 - Requires a storage location for data (protected)
 - Re-populate real values on each request (key lookup)

<http://foo.test/UserProfile.aspx?id=392>



<http://foo.test/UserProfile.aspx?id=0&key=0-1-526189>

- Other Considerations
 - Same as with URIs (see previous list)
 - URI and QueryString “token” can be the same
 - Query String Values should not be interchangeable



Tamper Proofing FORM Data

- HTML Form Data
 - Embedded HTML FORM data
 - TYPE=HIDDEN | RADIO | CHECKBOX, SELECT
 - Read-Only Text Boxes
 - Forms can use either GET or POST



Tamper Proofing FORM Data

- Encrypt Embedded Inputs

- Decrypt parameters on each request

<INPUT TYPE="hidden" NAME="acct" VALUE="149">



<INPUT TYPE="hidden" NAME="acct" VALUE="4d535a7067">

- Hashing or Abstraction

- Can use Hashing or Lookup Table
- Requires a storage location
 - Server-side list (with a unique lookup key)
 - Client-side via hidden field (must be tamper proof)



Tamper Proofing FORM Data

■ Example HTML Form (Original)

```
<form method="post" action="/UserPreferences.aspx">
  <select name="Color">
    <option value="RD">Red</option>
    <option value="GR">Green</option>
    <option value="BL">Blue</option>
  </select>
  <input type="radio" name="theme" value="T323" />Classic<br/>
  <input type="radio" name="theme" value="T301" />Modern<br />
  <input type="radio" name="theme" value="T100" />Text-Only<br />
  <input type="submit" value="Submit" />
</form>
```



Tamper Proofing FORM Data

■ Example HTML Form (Abstracted)

```
<form method="post" action="/UserPreferences.aspx">
  <input type="hidden" name="formId" value="0-2-526189" />
  <select name="Color">
    <option value="0">Red</option>
    <option value="1">Green</option>
    <option value="2">Blue</option>
  </select>
  <input type="radio" name="theme" value="0" />Classic <br />
  <input type="radio" name="theme" value="1" />Modern <br />
  <input type="radio" name="theme" value="2" />Text-Only <br />
  <input type="submit" value="Submit" />
</form>
```



Tamper Proofing FORM Data

- Other Considerations
 - Inputs cannot be tied to session for 3rd party Forms
 - Same as a linkable URL
 - Storage location must be protected
 - Key-based lookup (server-side)
 - Encrypted or HMAC (client-side)
 - Interchanging Protected Inputs
 - Form ID should be tied to Action URI
 - Tie input value to NAME & ACTION
 - Javascript (covered later)



Tamper Proofing FORM Data

- Disabled or Read-Only Form Inputs
 - Disabled inputs DO NOT submit, so should be ignored
 - Read-Only inputs should be treated as embedded but are still rendered within the UI
 - Cannot be visibly altered
 - Must verify integrity
- Input NAMES must be tracked on each form
 - INPUT TYPE=IMG Example
 - Avoid altering due to client-side references



Tamper Proofing Cookies

- Encrypting Cookies

- Decrypt cookies on each request

Set-Cookie: user-id=123;



Set-Cookie: user-id=4d535a7067;

- Hashing or Abstraction

- Can use Hashing or Lookup Table
- Requires a storage location
 - Server-side list (with a unique lookup key)
 - Client-side (must be tamper proof)



Tamper Proofing JavaScript

- JavaScript used to perform request-related tasks
 - Populate data (URLs and Inputs)
- Too complex to parse for tamper proofing
- Common constructs for request related tasks:
 - Function Calls
 - Variable / Property Assignments



Tamper Proofing JavaScript

- Protecting Function Calls
 - Define the function name and arguments
 - Determine which need to be protected
 - Determine the data type of each
 - URL or FORM INPUT
- Protecting Variables or Properties
 - Several common properties that are URLs
 - `location.href`, `window.location`
 - Custom variables require name and data type to be specified



Tamper Proofing JavaScript

- Protected Function Call:

`__doPostBack('ctl00$CustomerHeader$btnSearch','')`



`__doPostBack('4d535a706738b1ca827e90fc284ba628c3ef231','27e90fc284ba')`

- Caveats:

- Limitations since the target form may not be accessible
 - Abstraction may not be possible
 - Input value may not be tie-able to the target URI
- Likely to require manual configuration



Conclusion

- Tamper proofing allows us to only worry about what we **ask** the user for
- Real world solutions do exist and work
- As long as tested, either works or doesn't

Questions?